Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 1 of 67

CALJIC 3.18 (1999 Revision)

C00399

# TESTIMONY OF ACCOMPLICE TO BE VIEWED WITH CARE AND CAUTION

3.18

To the extent that an accomplice gives testimony that tends to incriminate the defendant, it should be viewed with caution. This does not mean, however, that you may arbitrarily disregard that testimony. You should give that testimony the weight you think it deserves after examining it with care and caution and in the light of all the evidence in this case.

## **CALJIC 5.12**

C00400

## JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE IN SELF-DEFENSE

5.12

The killing of another person in self-defense is justifiable and not unlawful when the person who does the killing actually and reasonably believes:

- 1. That there is imminent danger that the other person will either kill him or cause him great bodily injury; and
- 2. That it is necessary under the circumstances for him to use in self-defense force or means that might cause the death of the other person, for the purpose of avoiding death or great bodily injury to himself. A bare fear of death or great bodily injury is not sufficient to justify a homicide. To justify taking the life of another in self-defense, the circumstances must be such as would excite the fears of a reasonable person placed in a similar position, and the party killing must act under the influence of those fears alone. The danger must be apparent, present, immediate and instantly dealt with, or must so appear at the time to the slayer as a reasonable person, and the killing must be done under a well-founded belief that it is necessary to save one's self from death or great bodily harm.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 3 of 67

**CALJIC 5.15** 

600401

# CHARGE OF MURDER--BURDEN OF PROOF RE JUSTIFICATION OR EXCUSE

5.15

Upon a trial of a charge of murder, a killing is lawful, if it was justifiable. The burden is on the prosecution to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the homicide was unlawful, that is, not justifiable. If you have a reasonable doubt that the homicide was unlawful, you must find the defendant not guilty.

Case 3:07-cv-0512**4**-SI

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 4 of 67

## **CALJIC 5.50**

C00402

## SELF-DEFENSE--ASSAILED PERSON NEED NOT RETREAT

5.50

A person threatened with an attack that justifies the exercise of the right of self-defense need not retreat. In the exercise of his right of self-defense a person may stand his ground and defend himself by the use of all force and means which would appear to be necessary to a reasonable person in a similar situation and with similar knowledge; and a person may pursue his assailant until he has secured himself from danger if that course likewise appears reasonably necessary. This law applies even though the assailed person might more easily have gained safety by flight or by withdrawing from the scene.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008 CALJIC 5.17

Page 5 of 67

C00403

## ACTUAL BUT UNREASONABLE BELIEF IN NECESSITY TO DEFEND--MANSLAUGHTER

5.17

A person, who kills another person in the actual but unreasonable belief in the necessity to defend against imminent peril to life or great bodily injury, kills unlawfully, but does not harbor malice aforethought and is not guilty of murder. This would be so even though a reasonable person in the same situation seeing and knowing the same facts would not have had the same belief. Such an actual but unreasonable belief is not a defense to the crime of voluntary manslaughter.

As used in this instruction, an "imminent" peril means one that is apparent, present, immediate and must be instantly dealt with, or must so appear at the time to the slayer.

However, this principle is not available, and malice aforethought is not negated, if the defendant by his unlawful or wrongful conduct created the circumstances which legally justified his adversary's use of force or attack. Case 3:07-cv-0512(4-9)

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 6 of 67

## **CALJIC 8.00**

HOMICIDE--DEFINED

C00404

8.00

**;**;;

Homicide is the killing of one human being by another, either lawfully or unlawfully. Homicide includes murder and manslaughter, which are unlawful, and the acts of excusable and justifiable homicides, which are lawful.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 7 of 67

**CALJIC 3.31.5** 

C00405

#### MENTAL STATE

3.31.5

In the crime of Murder, as charged by the Information, the lesser crime of Voluntary Manslaughter, and the clauses and allegations attendant thereto, there must exist a union or joint operation of act or conduct and a certain mental state or specific intent in the mind of the perpetrator. Unless this mental state or specific intent exists the crime to which it relates is not committed or the allegation is not true.

The mental state or specific intent required to prove each crime and allegation charged is included in the definitions of the crimes and allegations set forth elsewhere in these instructions.

C00406

MURDER--DEFINED (PENAL CODE § 187)

8.10

The Defendant is accused by the Information of having committed the crime of murder, a violation of Penal Code section 187.

Every person who unlawfully kills a human being with malice aforethought, is guilty of the crime of murder in violation of section 187 of the Penal Code.

A killing is unlawful, if it was neither justifiable nor excusable.

In order to prove this crime, each of the following elements must be proved:

- 1. A human being was killed;
- 2. The killing was unlawful; and
- 3. The killing was done with malice aforethought.

600407

## "MALICE AFORETHOUGHT"--DEFINED

8.11

"Malice" may be either express or implied.

Malice is express when there is manifested an intention unlawfully to kill a human being.

Malice is implied when:

- 1. The killing resulted from an intentional act,
- 2. The natural consequences of the act are dangerous to human life, and
- 3. The act was deliberately performed with knowledge of the danger to, and with conscious disregard for, human life.

When it is shown that a killing resulted from the intentional doing of an act with express or implied malice, no other mental state need be shown to establish the mental state of malice aforethought.

The mental state constituting malice aforethought does not necessarily require any ill will or hatred of the person killed.

The word "aforethought" does not imply deliberation or the lapse of considerable time. It only means that the required mental state must precede rather than follow the act.

C00408

## DELIBERATE AND PREMEDITATED MURDER

8.20

All murder which is perpetrated by any kind of willfull, deliberate and premeditated killing with express malice aforethought is murder of the first degree.

The word "willfull," as used in this instruction, means intentional.

The word "deliberate" means formed or arrived at or determined upon as a result of careful thought and weighing of considerations for and against the proposed course of action. The word "premeditated" means considered beforehand.

If you find that the killing was preceded and accompanied by a clear, deliberate intent on the part of the defendant to kill, which was the result of deliberation and premeditation, so that it must have been formed upon pre-existing reflection and not under a sudden heat of passion or other condition precluding the idea of deliberation, it is murder of the first degree.

The law does not undertake to measure in units of time the length of the period during which the thought must be pondered before it can ripen into an intent to kill which is truly deliberate and premeditated. The time will vary with different individuals and under varying circumstances.

The true test is not the duration of time, but rather the extent of the reflection. A cold, calculated judgment and decision may be arrived at in a short period of time, but a mere unconsidered and rash impulse, even though it includes an intent to kill, is not deliberation and premeditation as will fix an unlawful killing as murder of the first degree.

To constitute a deliberate and premeditated killing, the slayer must weigh and consider the question of killing and the reasons for and against such a choice and, having in mind the consequences, he decides to and does kill. It is not necessary to prove that the defendant maturely and meaningfully reflected upon the gravity of his act.

Case 3:07-cv-0512/4-\$I

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 11 of 67

**CALJIC 8.25.1** 

DRIVE-BY MURDER (PENAL CODE § 189) C00409

8.25.1

Murder which is perpetrated by means of discharging a firearm from a motor vehicle intentionally at another person outside of the vehicle when the perpetrator specifically intended to inflict death, is also murder of the first degree.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008 **CALJIC 8.30** 

Page 12 of 67

## UNPREMEDITATED MURDER OF THE SECOND DEGREE

8.30

\* . .

Murder of the second degree is the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought when the perpetrator intended unlawfully to kill a human being but the evidence is insufficient to prove deliberation and premeditation.

# SECOND DEGREE MURDER--KILLING RESULTING 00411 FROM UNLAWFUL ACT DANGEROUS TO LIFE

8.31

Murder of the second degree is also the unlawful killing of a human being when:

- 1. The killing resulted from an intentional act,
- 2. The natural consequences of the act are dangerous to human life, and
- 3. The act was deliberately performed with knowledge of the danger to, and with conscious disregard for, human life.

When the killing is the direct result of such an act, it is not necessary to prove that the defendant intended that the act would result in the death of a human being.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 14 of 67

## **CALJIC 8.70**

C00412

## DUTY OF JURY AS TO DEGREE OF MURDER

8.70

Murder is classified into two degrees. If you should find the defendant guilty of murder, you must determine and state in your verdict whether you find the murder to be of the first or second degree.

## SEPARATE THEORIES TO DETERMINE MURDER AND DEGREE OF MURDER

#### S 8.70.1

To find the defendant guilty of the crime of murder, it is not necessary that all twelve jurors unanmiously agree on one or more of the separate theories of culpability proposed by the prosecution. It is sufficient that each juror is convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crime of murder.

In other words, it is not necessary that all twelve jurors agree that the killing was committed with express malice or implied malice aforethought. It is sufficient that all twelve jurors agree that it was murder.

Additionally, to find the defendant guilty of murder in the first degree, it is not necessary that all twelve jurors agree that the murder was premeditated. deliberate, and wilfull or that the murder was committed by means of discharging a firearm from a motor vehicle intentionally at a person outside of the vehicle with the specific intent to inflict death. It is sufficient that all twelve jurors agree beyond a reasonable doubt that it is murder of the first degree.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 16 of 67

**CALJIC 8.71** 

C00414

## DOUBT WHETHER FIRST OR SECOND DEGREE MURDER

8.71

If you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt and unanimously agree that the crime of murder has been committed by a defendant, but you unanimously agree that you have a reasonable doubt whether the murder was of the first or of the second degree, you must give defendant the benefit of that doubt and return a verdict fixing the murder as of the second degree.

Case 3:07-cv-0512/4-\$I Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 17 of 67

**CALJIC 8.80.1 (1997 Revision)** 

C00415

POST JUNE 5, 1990 SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES--INTRODUCTORY (PEN. CODE, § 190.2)

8.80.1

If you find the defendant in this case guilty of murder of the first degree, you must then determine if the following special circumstance is true or not true: that the murder was intentional and perpetrated by means of discharging a firearm from a motor vehicle intentionally at another person outside the vehicle with the intent to inflict death.

The People have the burden of proving the truth of this special circumstance. If you have a reasonable doubt as to whether a special circumstance is true, you must find it to be not true.

In order to find a special circumstance alleged in this case to be true or not true, you must agree unanimously.

You will state your special finding as to whether this special circumstance is true or not true on the form that will be supplied.

C06416

## **CALJIC 8.81.21**

SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES--INTENTIONAL DISCHARGE OF A FIREARM FROM A MOTOR VEHICLE (PENAL CODE § 190.2(a)(21))

8.81.21

:::

To find that the special circumstance, referred to in these instructions as murder by means of an intentional discharge of a firearm from a motor vehicle, is true, it must be proved:

- 1. The murder was perpetrated by means of discharging a firearm from a motor vehicle;
- 2. The perpetrator intentionally discharged the firearm at another person or persons outside the vehicle; and
- 3. The perpetrator, at the time he discharged the firearm, intended to inflict death.

A "motor vehicle" is a vehicle which is self- propelled.

Jury Instructions

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008

CALJIC 17.19.5 (2002 Revision)

Page 19 of 67 C00417

## INTENTIONAL AND PERSONAL DISCHARGE OF FIREARM/GREAT **BODILY INJURY** (d))

(PEN. CODE, § 12022.53, subd.

17.19.5

It is alleged by the Information that the defendant intentionally and personally discharged a firearm and thereby caused death to a person other than an accomplice during the commission of the crime of murder as charged by the Information.

If you find the defendant guilty of the crime of murder as charged by the Information, you must determine whether the defendant intentionally and personally discharged a firearm and caused death to a person other than an accomplice in the commission of that felony.

The word "firearm" includes a shotgun.

The term "intentionally and personally discharged a firearm," as used in this instruction, means that the defendant himself must have intentionally discharged it.

The People have the burden of proving the truth of this allegation. If you have a reasonable doubt that it is true, you must find it to be not true.

Include a special finding on that question in your verdict, using a form that will be supplied for that purpose.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 20 of 67

**CALJIC 8.37** 

## MANSLAUGHTER--DEFINED (PENAL CODE § 192)

C00418

8.37

The crime of manslaughter is the unlawful killing of a human being without malice aforethought. It is not divided into degrees but is of two kinds, namely, voluntary manslaughter and involuntary manslaughter. In this case you will receive instructions on first and second degree murder, and voluntary manslaughter. The crime of involuntary manslaughter is not applicable.

## CALJIC 8.40 (2001 Revision)

C00419

# VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER--DEFINED (PEN. CODE, § 192, subd. (a))

8.40

Voluntary Manslaughter in violation of Section 192(a) of the Penal Code is a lesser crime to that of Murder as charged by the Information.

Every person who unlawfully kills another human being without malice aforethought but either with an intent to kill, or in conscious disregard for human life, is guilty of voluntary manslaughter in violation of Penal Code section 192, subdivision (a).

There is no malice aforethought if the killing occurred in the actual but unreasonable belief in the necessity to defend oneself against imminent peril to life or great bodily injury.

"Conscious disregard for life," as used in this instruction, means that a killing results from the doing of an intentional act, the natural consequences of which are dangerous to life, which act was deliberately performed by a person who knows that his or her conduct endangers the life of another and who acts with conscious disregard for life.

In order to prove this crime, each of the following elements must be proved:

- 1. A human being was killed;
- 2. The killing was unlawful; and
- 3. The perpetrator of the killing either intended to kill the alleged victim, or acted in conscious disregard for life; and
  - 4. The perpetrator's conduct resulted in the unlawful killing.

A killing is unlawful, if it was not justifiable.

## DISCHARGING FIREARM FROM MOTOR VEHICLE INTENT TO INFLICT GREAT BODILY INJURY OR DEATH

## S 17.19.55

Case 3:07-cv-0512A-SI

It is alleged by the Information that the defendant, in the commission of a felony, discharged a firearm from a motor vehicle with the intent to inflict great bodily injury or the death of a person other than the occupant of the motor vehicle and thereby caused the death of a person other than an occupant of the vehicle in the commission of that felony.

If you find the defendant guilty of the lesser crime of Voluntary Manslaughter, you must find whether this allegation is true or not true.

In order to prove this allegation each of the following elements must be proved:

- 1. The defendant discharged a firearm from a motor vehicle;
- 2. The defendant discharged the firearm with the specific intent to inflict great bodily injury or cause the death of a person other than an occupant of the motor vehicle, and,
- The discharge of the firearm by the defendant caused great bodily injury or death to a person other than an occupant of the vehicle.

The People have the burden of proving the truth of this allegation. If you have a reasonable doubt that it is true, you must find it is not true.

Include a special finding on that question in your verdict, using a form that will be supplied for that purpose.

C00421

## MURDER AND MANSLAUGHTER DISTINGUISHED

8.50

The distinction between murder and manslaughter is that murder requires malice while manslaughter does not.

When the act causing the death, though unlawful, is done in the actual but unreasonable belief in the necessity to defend against imminent peril to life or great bodily injury, the offense is manslaughter. In that case, even if an intent to kill exists, the law is that malice, which is an essential element of murder, is absent.

To establish that a killing is murder and not manslaughter, the burden is on the People to prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the elements of murder and that the act which caused the death was not done in the actual, even though unreasonable, belief in the necessity to defend against imminent peril to life or great bodily injury. Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 24 of 67

**CALJIC 8.72** 

## DOUBT WHETHER MURDER OR MANSLAUGHTER

C00422

8.72

:::

If you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt and unanimously agree that the killing was unlawful, but you unanimously agree that you have a reasonable doubt whether the crime is murder or manslaughter, you must give the defendant the benefit of that doubt and find it to be manslaughter rather than murder.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 25 of 67

**CALJIC 8.73** 

# EVIDENCE OF PROVOCATION MAY BE C00423 CONSIDERED IN DETERMINING DEGREE OF MURDER

8.73

If the evidence establishes that there was provocation which played a part in inducing an unlawful killing of a human being, but the provocation was not sufficient to reduce the homicide to manslaughter, you should consider the provocation for the bearing it may have on whether the defendant killed with or without deliberation and premeditation.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 26 of 67

## **CALJIC 8.74**

C00424

## UNANIMOUS AGREEMENT AS TO OFFENSE--FIRST OR SECOND DEGREE MURDER OR MANSLAUGHTER

8.74

Before you may return a verdict in this case, you must agree unanimously not only as to whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty, but also, if you should find him guilty of an unlawful killing, you must agree unanimously as to whether he is guilty of murder of the first degree, murder of the second degree, or voluntary manslaughter.

## JURY MAY RETURN PARTIAL VERDICT--HOMICIDE

#### 8.75 - 1 of 2

If you are not satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crime of first degree murder and you unanimously so find, you may convict him of any lesser crime provided you are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty of the lesser crime.

You will be provided with guilty and not guilty verdict forms for the crime of murder in the first degree and lesser crimes thereto. Murder in the second degree is a lesser crime to that of murder in the first degree. Voluntary Manslaughter is lesser to that of murder in the second degree.

Thus, you are to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of murder in the first degree or of any lesser crime thereto. In doing so, you have discretion to choose the order in which you evaluate each crime and consider the evidence pertaining to it. You may find it to be productive to consider and reach tentative conclusions on all charged and lesser crimes before reaching any final verdict.

Before you return any final or formal verdicts, you must be guided by the following:

- 1. If you unanimously find a defendant guilty of first degree murder, your foreperson should sign and date the corresponding guilty verdict form. All other verdict forms should be left unsigned.
- 2. If you are unable to reach a unanimous verdict as to the charge of first degree murder, do not sign any verdict forms, and report your disagreement to the court.
- 3. The court cannot accept a verdict of guilty of second degree murder unless the jury also unanimously finds and returns a signed verdict form of not guilty as to murder of the first degree.
- 4. If you find a defendant not guilty of murder in the first degree but cannot reach a unanimous agreement as to murder of the second degree, your foreperson should sign and date the not guilty of murder in the first degree form, and should report your disagreement to the court. Do not sign any other verdict

C00426

## JURY MAY RETURN PARTIAL VERDICT--HOMICIDE

8.75 - 2 of 2

forms.

- 5. If you unanimously find a defendant not guilty of first degree murder, but guilty of second degree murder, your foreperson should sign and date the corresponding verdict forms. Do not sign any other verdict forms.
- 6. The court cannot accept a verdict of guilty of voluntary manslaughter unless the jury also unanimously finds and returns a signed not guilty verdict form as to both murder of the first degree and murder of the second degree.
- 7. If you unanimously find a defendant not guilty of murder in the first degree, and not guilty of, murder in the second degree, but are unable to unanimously agree as to the crime of voluntary manslaughter, your foreperson should sign and date the not guilty verdict form for first and second degree murder, and you should report your disagreement to the court.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 29 of 67

**CALJIC 17.30** 

600427

## JURY NOT TO TAKE CUE FROM THE JUDGE

17.30

I have not intended by anything I have said or done, or by any questions that I may have asked, or by any ruling I may have made, to intimate or suggest what you should find to be the facts, or that I believe or disbelieve any witness.

If anything I have done or said has seemed to so indicate, you will disregard it and form your own conclusion.

## **CALJIC 17.40**

C00428

## INDIVIDUAL OPINION REQUIRED--DUTY TO DELIBERATE

17.40

· . . .

The People and the defendant are entitled to the individual opinion of each juror.

Each of you must consider the evidence for the purpose of reaching a verdict if you can do so. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but should do so only after discussing the evidence and instructions with the other jurors.

Do not hesitate to change an opinion if you are convinced it is wrong. However, do not decide any question in a particular way because a majority of the jurors, or any of them, favor that decision.

Do not decide any issue in this case by the flip of a coin, or by any other chance determination.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 31 of 67

## **CALJIC 17.41**

C00429

## HOW JURORS SHOULD APPROACH THEIR TASK

17.41

The attitude and conduct of jurors at all times are very important. It is rarely helpful for a juror at the beginning of deliberations to express an emphatic opinion on the case or to announce a determination to stand for a certain verdict. When one does that at the outset, a sense of pride may be aroused, and one may hesitate to change a position even if shown it is wrong. Remember that you are not partisans or advocates in this matter. You are impartial judges of the facts.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 32 of 67

**CALJIC 17.42** 

000430

## JURY MUST NOT CONSIDER PENALTY--NON-CAPITAL CASE

17.42

In your deliberations do not discuss or consider the subject of penalty or punishment. That subject must not in any way affect your verdict.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-S

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 33 of 67

## **CALJIC 17.43**

## JURY DELIBERATIONS

C00431

17.43

During deliberations, any question or request the jury may have should be addressed to the Court on a form that will be provided. Please understand that counsel must first be contacted before a response can be formulated. If a readback of testimony is requested, the reporter will delete objections, rulings, and sidebar conferences so that you will hear only the evidence that was actually presented. Please understand that it may take time to provide a response. Continue deliberating until you are called back into the courtroom.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 34 of 67

## **CALJIC 17.45**

000432

# MANNER OF RECORDING INSTRUCTION OF NO SIGNIFICANCE--CONTENT ONLY GOVERNS

17.45

The instructions which I am now giving to you will be made available in written form for your deliberations. They must not be defaced in any way.

You will find that the instructions may be typed, printed or handwritten. Portions may have been added or deleted. You must disregard any deleted part of an instruction and not speculate as to what it was or as to the reason for its deletion. You are not to be concerned with the reasons for any modification.

Every part of the text of an instruction whether typed, printed or handwritten, is of equal importance. You are to be governed only by the instruction in its final wording.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 35 of 67

**CALJIC 17.47** 

# ADMONITION AGAINST DISCLOSURE OF JURY BALLOTING

C00433

17.47

Do not disclose to anyone outside the jury, not even to me or any member of my staff, either orally or in writing, how you may be divided numerically in your balloting as to any issue, unless I specifically direct otherwise.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 36 of 67

**CALJIC 17.52** 

SEPARATION ADMONITION

C00434

17.52

;;:

You will be permitted to separate at the noon and evening recesses and at such other times as the court permits. During your absence the courtroom will be locked when not in session. You are to return following the recesses at such times as the court directs and on the next succeeding court date. During periods of recess, you must not discuss with anyone any subject connected with this trial, and you must not deliberate further upon the case until all 12 of you are together and reassembled in the jury room. At that time you shall notify the clerk or the bailiff that the jury is reassembled, and then continue your deliberations.

Case 3:07-cv-051247SI Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 37 of 67

# **CALJIC 17.50 (1997 Revision)**

C00435

# CONCLUDING INSTRUCTION

17.50

You shall now retire and select one of your number to act as foreperson. He or she will preside over your deliberations. In order to reach a verdict, all twelve jurors must agree to the decision and to any finding you have been instructed to include with your verdict. As soon as you have agreed upon a verdict or verdicts, so that when polled each may state truthfully that the verdict or verdicts expresses his or her vote, have it or them dated and signed by your foreperson and then return with it or them to this courtroom.

Return any unsigned verdict forms.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 38 of 67

# **CALJIC 17.53**

ADMONITION TO ALTERNATE JURORS

C00436

17.53

As for the Alternate Jurors, you are still bound by the admonition that you are not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or to form or express any opinion on it until the case is submitted to you, which means until such time as you are substituted in for one of the 12 jurors now deliberating on the case. This also means that you are not to decide how you would vote if you were deliberating with the other jurors.

(RCD - 10/01)

C00437

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

### **EXHIBIT RECORD**

Honorable KENNETH KINGSBURY, Judge

Wanda Boyns, Deputy Clerk

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff

Dept. No. 006

IVAN KILGORE, Defendant

Case No. 141033

The following exhibits were marked for identification and/or introduced in evidence in the above action:

People (P)	Number		Date Marked	Date Admitted
or Defendant (D)	or Letter	DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS	for Identification	in Evidence
People	1	Ariel overview of 30 <sup>th</sup> and San Pablo Avenue	3-10-03	3-14-03
People	2	18 Photographs of crime scene, A – R	3-10-03	3-14-03
People	3	8 Photographs of 509 Sycamore Bldg. and Apt. #5, EE - LL	3-10-03	3-14-03
People	4	Tech's Diagram of Crime Scene	3-10-03	3-14-03
People	5	8 Autopsy photographs, A – H	3-10-03	3-14-03
People	6	Photograph of Victim William Anderson	3-10-03	3-14-03
People	7	12 Photographs of defendant's Cadillac, S – DD	3-10-03	3-14-03
People	8	911 Dispatch Tape including the defendant's report of stolen vehicle	3-13-03	3-14-03
People	8A	7 page, 911 Dispatch Transcript	3-13-03	
People	8B	3 page, 911 Dispatch Purge	3-13-03	3-14-03
People	8C	7 page, 911 Dispatch Transcript marked by Sgt. Green	3-13-03	700000000
People	9	Photograph of 12 gauge shotgun		
People	10	3 page Agreement of People v. Raymond Jones, #461958	3-11-03 M/JT	3-11-03 M / 3- 14-03 JT
People	11	Cassette tape of police interview with Matthew Bryant	3-11-03	3-14-03
People	11A	Transcript of cassette tape	3-11-03	
People	12	Blow-up Thomas map page of West Oakland	3-13-03	3-14-03
People	13	Black knit beanie cap in a plastic bag	3-13-03	3-14-03
People	pople 14 Defendant's Oklahoma Trial Testimony transcript		3-14-03	
Defendant	A	Passenger side of defendant's automobile	3-13-03	3-14-03
Defendant	В	Passenger side of defendant's Fleetwood Cadillac	3-13-03	3-14-03
Defendant	С	Driver side of defendant's Fleetwood Cadillac	3-13-03	3-14-03
Defendant	D	Rear passenger side of defendant's Fleetwood Cadillac	3-13-03	3-14-03
		ALAMEDA CO	UNTY	
		MAR 2 4 2	003	
		CLERK OF THE SHPE	 <del>2100-001101</del> —	

(Original: place in exhibit envelope)

(Copy: file stamp as the original; register of actions)

Exhibit Record

By Manda J. Dolon DEPUTY

Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 40 of 67

(7/00)

VS.

### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Dept. No. 006

Date: March 24, 2003

Hon. KENNETH R. KINGSBURY, Judge

Wanda Boyns, Dep.Clk. Gerald Dohrmann, Reporter

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Counsel appearing

Darryl Stallworth, Deputy

for Plaintiff

District Attorney

Plaintiff

Counsel appearing for Defendant

Deborah Levy, Esq

**IVAN KILGORE** 

Defendant

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

**JURY TRIAL DAY NINE** 

Case No. **141033** PFN: **BBV550** 

CEN: **0373689** 

Above entitled action comes on calendar this date having been continued from March 20, 2003 for jury trial.

9:50 a.m. All deliberating jurors are present and resume deliberations with alternate #13 present in the jury assembly room.

9:55 a.m. The Court has receive another written request from the jury for read-back of requested testimony. 10:25 a.m. The Court with permission of defense counsel and the Deputy District Attorney asks that the reporter prepare the requested testimony.

10:30 a.m. The jury takes a break from their deliberations.

10:48 a.m. The reporter reads the requested read-back to the jury in their deliberation room.

11:40 a.m. The read back having been completed the jury resumes deliberations.

11:47 a.m. The jury takes a break from deliberations.

12:00 p.m. The jury takes their lunch recess.

1:40 p.m. All jurors are present and resume their deliberations. Both counsel are present and review the remaining portion of transcript to be read back to the jury.

2:09 p.m. The jury takes a break while waiting for the read back of testimony.

2:30 p.m. All read back having been completed the jurors resume deliberations.

3:25 p.m. The Court is informed that the jury has reached a verdict and the attorneys are asked to return to the courtroom.

3:42 p.m. Respective counsel and defendant are present, the jury is summoned to return to the courtroom. The clerk omitting the title of the Court and cause reads the verdict of the jury. See the attached verdict form. 3:48 p.m. At the request of counsel for the defendant the jury is polled and all twelve jurors affirm the verdict as

read. At the request of the Court the verdict is recorded.

3:50 p.m. The jury is thanked and excused from further service on this case.

3:55 p.m. The defendant enters a time waiver for sentence and the matter is referred to probation for report. The Court further orders the matter continued to June 13, 2003 at 9:00 a.m. for Report and Sentence in Department 6, along with the issue of the prior conviction from Oklahoma.

Filed 09/05

Page 41 of 67

(12/00)

VS.

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

C00439

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff

MAR 2 4 2003

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Dept. No. 006

Case No. 141033 PFN: BBV550

CEN: 0373689

**IVAN KILGORE** 

Defendant

# VERDICT OF JURY

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause find the defendant, IVAN KILGORE, GUILTY of a felony, to wit: MURDER in the FIRST DEGREE, a violation of Section 187 of the Penal Code of California as charged in the Information.

## SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE

We, the jury further find \_\_TRNE the alleged special circumstance that the murder in the first degree was true / not true intentional and perpetrated by means of discharging a firearm from a motor vehicle, intentionally at another person or persons outside the vehicle with the intent to inflict death. We, the jury further find that in and during the commission and attempted commission of the above offense, the

personally and intentionally discharge a firearm and proximately

cause death to WILLIAM ANDERSON. (12022.53 (d) P.C.)

Foreperson Number

(Original: File stamped, sealed; copy placed in file and redacted-- without jurors' names)

did / did not

GV 1st

\_\_ CT. DATE 3/24/03 DOCK NO.\_

141033

H401 (REV. 6/01)

JOCKET

KILGORE, IVAN DAWNELL

# Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 RENE DAVIDSON COURTHOUSE

600440

331 21	CLERKS DOCKET AND MINUT	TY OF ALAMEDA	
KILGORE, IVAN	DEPT		3/24/03 09:30
KILGORE, IVAN DAWNELI	<b>L</b> RPT. N	NO. 00-64017	DOCK NO. 141033
ROC. FJT COUNTS HARGES 1)F187 SCEUSE PC 1 I	CEN. 0373689 PFN. BBV550 PIC 00		ACITY DA
TAT SET BAIL \$0		STODY: 873	
AIL STAT	BOND DT	BOND CO	DOB 05/05/75
AIL STAT	BOND DT.  REC. NO. NORTH 1	BOND CO.	BAC
NE/REST DATE PAID	REC. NO. NURIH 1	TIME WAIVED NT	3/14/03
Defendant:  KENNETH KINGSBURY WANDA BOYNS GERALD DOHRMANN Present Not	OTHERS	DARRYL STALLWO DEBORAH LEVY	□ Not Present
Defendant served:  Referred to Public Defender  Public Plea Withdrawn Change of Plea Stipulates to: lesser included / reasonation me waived for: Preliminary Examinates: Stricken Priors: Stricken Probation: Conditional Sentence  Miles Revoked Restored Miles Restored Miles Restored Miles Referred to Referred Miles Referred M	ce: Granted for years/mont lodified Extended to C C Previous order revoking probation vacated, residence, vehicle or any property under de direct C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Petition	rotective Order (PC 136.2)  nsel appointed  No Contest/Found Guilty  Time waiver withdrawn ng Purposes Only  onditions nd conditions Terminated stored to probation  staway  DeniedWithdrawn  ReservedModified dgment Entered Cite ReleaseNight Service
	INUM DEFT REMAINS ON 18TPC. 1* SPECIAL CIL	·	
EPT REMANDED			

Judge Nakahara,

4-10-03

I Than Kilgare case number 141033, am writing as an informal request for a copy of the Marsden Mution and transcript of the proceedings to support my claim of incompentent counsel as reason for the motion for a new trial.

The issues addressed at that hearing were denied because you felt some of them to be preconceived, well they became realities throughout my trial whereas I was found guilty of first degree murder.

Sincerely Norm Relgore

Santa Rita Jail Ivan Kilgore BBVS50 5325 Broder Blv. Oublin CA 94568

FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

APR 2 3 2003

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

By Manda 4 MANNE

SERVITY

Evan Kilgore BBVSSC 325 Broder Blv. iblin CA 94508

OAKland CA 94612 Judge Nakahara 1225 Fallon St. Dept#8





	Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 45 of 67				
1	DEBORAH L. LEVY - State Bar #110995				
2	360 Grand Avenue #197 ALAMEDA COUNTY				
3	Oakland, CA. 94610 MAY 3 0 2003				
4	(510) 251-1850 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT				
5	Attorney for Defendant Kilgore				
6	IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
7	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA - OAKLAND JUDICIAL DISTRICT				
8	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) NO. 141033				
9	Plaintiff, ) DEFENSE MOTION TO ALLOW				
10	V. DEFENDANT TO PURSUE MARSDEN				
11	IVAN KILGORE ) MOTION PRIOR TO SENTENCING				
12	Defendant, ) DATE: $6/13/03$				
13	, TIME: 9:00				
14	FACTS DEDTIG				
15	Following the verdict in this case, the defendant has communicated in writing both to counsel				
16	and the court that he feels counsel was incompetent in her representation of him. Counsel				
17	explained that she is precluded from arguing her own incompetence to the court.				
18	What counsel believes Mr. Kilgore wants is				
19	1. To have this court find counsel was incompetent and appoint a new attorney to move for a new				
20	trial, based on current counsel's incompetence, and/or any other grounds. Or				
21	2. Appoint new independent counsel to argue current counsel's incompetence to assist Mr.				
22	Kilgore in getting a new trial.				
23	If the court denies Mr. Kilgore's request for new counsel, it is anticipated he would ask the				
24	court to be able to proceed in pro per.				
25	In any case, counsel feels that prior to her preparing a new trial motion, it makes sense to have				
26	the court consider Mr. Kilgore's requests and rule on them. People v. Smith (1993) 6 Cal.4th 684				
27	at 693-5; and People v. Dennis (1986) 177 Cal.App.3d 863, 871-872), both recognize that post				
28	trial Marsden hearings are appropriate. People v. Ivan (1992) 2 Cal.App.4th 1654, 1665-1666,				
ſ					

counsel refused to file a motion for new trial.

Counsel has agreed to file a motion for new trial for Mr. Kilgore, orally and in writing.

recognized a Defendant's right to a Marsden hearing at the time of sentencing because appointed

However, if the court is going to appoint new counsel, that issue should be addressed first.

WHEREFORE, on behalf of Mr. Kilgore, counsel asks this court to hear from Mr.

Kilgore regarding his Marsden- Faretta type requests, and to rule accordingly.

DATED: May 26, 2003

Respectfully Submitted,

Deborah L. Levy

Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI

27

28

Document 13-5

Filed 09/05/2008

Page 47 of 67

000445

## PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

1 2 I declare that: I am a citizen of the United States; I work in the county of Alameda, State of California, my address 3 is 360 Grand Avenue, #197, Oakland, CA. 94610; I am over the age of eighteen years; and I am not 4 a party to the within action. 5 On May 26, 2003, I served copies of the Motion to Allow Defendant's Marsden Motion Prior to 6 Sentencing, by personally delivering a true and correct copy thereof into the United States mail, at 7 a post office, or a post office box, with the correct postage, addressed to be persons at the address 8 9 set forth below: Ivan Kilgore BBV550 10 Santa Rita Detention Facility 11 5325 Broder Avenue 12 13 Dublin, CA. 94568 14 15 I certify and declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of California that the foregoing 16 300h day of is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on this 17 18 2003 at Oakland, California, County of Alameda. 19 20 1 Ley 21 22 Deborah L. Levy 23 24 25 26

70-401 (REV. 5/01)

Case 3:07-cv-05124-31 Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 00446

RENE DAVIDSON COURTHOUSE

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
CLERKS DOCKET AND	MINUTES

MILGORE, IVAN	DEPT. 006 CRT. DATE/TIME 6/13/03 09:00
KILGORE, IVAN DAWNELL	RPT. NO. 00-64017 DOCK NO. 141033
PROC.RES COUNTS CHARGES 1)F187 SCEUSE PC 1 PR CONVICTED-J	
STAT SET BAIL \$0.00 TOTAL	
	DT
FINE/REST. DATE PAID REC. N	NONORTH 1 TIME WAIVED TWS
	OCEEDING
JUDGE KENNETH KINGSBURY DEP. CLERK WANDA BOYNS REPORTER GERALD DOHRMANN THERESA AGUILAR	DEP. D.A. DARRYL STALL WORTH
Defendant: ☐ Present ☐ Not Present ☐ Excuse	
Defendant duly arraigned/advised as to constitutional rights Defendant served: Complaint Referred to Public Defender Public Defender files conflice Plea Withdrawn Change of Plea Plea to count(s) Stipulates to: lesser included / reasonably related offense of Time waived for: Preliminary Examination days Jauses: Stricken Admitted Priors: Stricken Admitted Probation: Conditional Sentence: Granted for Revoked Restored Modified Extended to Defendant admits probation violation Previous order revoking Submit to search and seizure of person, residence, vehicle or an No contact with / not to annoy Additional order(s): Petition/Motion Referred to: Probation Dept. Financial Hearing Office Restitution: Referred to Bail Forfeiture Set Aside Bail Reinstan	Discovery Petition Motion Protective Order (PC 136.2)  t Financially ineligible Private counsel appointed  Not Guilty Guilty No Contest/Found Guilty  count(s) to charge(s)  Trial Sentence Time not waived Time waiver withdrawn  ed Sentencing Purposes Only  d Denied  years/months See attached conditions  counting Protective Order (PC 136.2)  To contest/Found Guilty  Denied Time waiver withdrawn  dent Sentencing Purposes Only  dent S
DEFT'S MARSINEN MTN IS ORDERED	CONT TO NEXT RES HRG. DATE
	REDEN MIN, MNEW TRIAL RES Date: Time: DeptProc.:
DOCKET KILGORE IVAN DAWNELL	CT. DATE 6/13/03_ DOCK NO141033

JUN 2 6 2003 1 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 2 3 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 4 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 5 6 BEFORE THE HONORABLE KENNETH KINGSBURY, JUDGE 7 DEPARTMENT NO. 6 ---000----8 9 ORIGINAL 10 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, 11 NO. 141033 12 vs. 13 IVAN KILGORE, Defendant. 14 15 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 17 18 RENE C. DAVIDSON COURTHOUSE 19 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 20 FRIDAY, JUNE 13, 2003 21 22 APPEARANCES: 23 THOMAS J. ORLOFF For the People: DISTRICT ATTORNEY 24 BY: DARRYL STALLWORTH, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney 25 26 For the Defendant: DEBORAH LEVY, ESQ. 360 Grand Avenue, Suite 197 Oakland, CA 94612 27 28

# FRIDAY, JUNE 13, 2003

Case 3:07-cv-0512**4-\$**I

# A.M. SESSION

# 

# PROCEEDINGS

---000---

THE COURT: Calling the matter of People versus Kilgore, docket number 141033.

The matter is on today for report and sentence. I have received, read, and considered the probation officer's report, along with a number of attachments thereto. I have also received, that was filed on May 30<sup>th</sup>, a defense motion to allow the defendant to pursue a Marsden motion prior to sentencing.

And that's true, Mr. Kilgore, you'd like a Marsden motion prior to sentencing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I think the law does permit that and requires it, so we will do that.

A Marsden motion is generally a motion that is held out of the public view, so I know I welcomed you all into the courtroom a few minutes ago, but this is one that has to be done in-camera, because the accused has a right to speak freely and not utter words that might tend to incriminate, so I'm going to ask everybody including the district attorney to remain outside until we have this motion, and we'll advise you as soon as we're free.

The reporter is directed to prepare the transcript, but keep it in a sealed condition.

(Public is adjourned.)

---000---

## PROCEEDINGS

## ---000---

(Whe

(Whereupon, members of the public have reconvened in the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Back on the record in the Kilgore matter. Mr. Stallworth has joined us from the district attorney's office. When Mr. Stallworth -- what's happened is this without disclosing any details about it, you are aware of the motion that was made by Ms. Levy, and I think you are aware of the letter that was written by Mr. Kilgore dated April 10<sup>th</sup> to Judge Nakahara asking for information about an earlier Marsden motion that he could use as a part of the motion that he wishes to make now.

In reading that it was addressed to Judge
Nakahara and mailed from Oakland on April the 11<sup>th</sup>, it was
dated April 10<sup>th</sup> of this year. He asked for two things:
One is a copy of the motion that he had filed which Judge
Nakahara listed as an exhibit, and it's filed as an exhibit
in this case, and a transcript of the proceedings. He was
provided with a transcript of the proceedings that were
prepared by Judge Nakahara's court reporter, but not
provided a copy of the motion that he filed. We were able
to track that down, and I gave it to him this morning.

The Marsden motion is going to be heard on the 27<sup>th</sup>, that's all. That it's going to be on for the 27<sup>th</sup> in all likelihood at 9:30 in the morning. Other things may grow out of that. The second part of it was today. I

```
discussed with Ms. Levy and Mr. Kilgore the fact that you indicated to the Court that family members wish to go on record and address the Court today publicly concerning the impact that this has had on them. We can do that this morning.
```

MR. STALLWORTH: Thank you, Your Honor.

Theo Ward for the record.

THE COURT: T-H-E-O, your name is spelled?

MR. WARD: W-A-R-D, Bill.

THE COURT: Bill. I'm sorry.

MR. WARD: I'm William Anderson's uncle.

THE COURT: I understand.

THE DEFENDANT: First of all, I'd like to take this opportunity to thank Oakland Police Department and the California Superior Court for their patience and professionalism in the capture and prosecution of this insipid little man Ivan Kilgore. As a resident of Oakland and a native Californian, I am proud to say that our system in California works. I'm sure Ivan Kilgore is coming to the reality of how well it works.

Ivan Kilgore, it must be hell sitting in your seat wondering what the judges think when normally he represents the people of our community. Your Honor, Ivan Kilgore has been convicted of murdering my nephew, William Anderson. In my opinion Ivan Kilgore is no less or more than a no life, no going, murderous S.O.B. that should be executed by the State of California. Your Honor is more educated in these matters, and I put the faith in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4

I'm sure Your Honor will determine an appropriate system. sentence.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ward.

MS. WARD: Your Honor, thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak.

**THE COURT:** Good morning. Can we have your name?

MS. WARD: I am Pearl Ward. I am William's grandmother.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. WARD: Okay. I was born on January 1, 1930, and for most of my life, I've been Christian. I have been taught about Satan, and I read about Satan and the evilness that he possesses, but not up until now have I seen Satan face-to-face. That organ in your chest that beat of a heart harvests cowardice, hate, malice and a true disdain of respect of human life. The devastation that you brought is unimaginable.

William traveled with his parents, brother and myself all over these United States, collecting toys, going to the movies was his favorite things to do besides playing video games with his brother Fred. He was standing on the corner to see the X-men movie when you murdered him. love of my grandson is indescribable. Because of what you did, there's a boy that can never feel Christmas, holidays, family gatherings, concerts and just plain good ole life. You murdered my grandson. You tried to lie about it, but the Court did not buy it. When I wish upon a star, I would Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I

28

```
be glad to know where you are and to know that dreams do
 1
 2
     come true. You wanted the worst that life had to offer, so
     now Your Honor, along with the State of California, and my
 3
     family's support, we want to make his wish come true.
 4
     want to make sure that this man for all practical purposes
 5
     never sees anything but a cell for the rest of his natural
 6
     days on this earth for I truly loved my grandson and he
8
     loved me.
 9
               I have another that was written by a very dear
10
     friend, a babysitter.
11
            MS. LEVY: Your Honor, I have a problem with that.
12
            MS. WARD: She was unable to make it here because of
13
    a death in her family.
14
            THE COURT: Ms. Levy, your objection is noted for
                 I'll listen to it.
15
     the record.
16
            MS. WARD:
                      Okay. Thank you. "Today I stand here
    not full of joy or glee that you are being sentenced, but
17
18
    I'm here to speak for one who cannot speak for himself,
19
    William Bentley Anderson, affectionately known as my baby.
20
     I've called him that ever since he came into this world,
21
     sucking his two fingers to the time you murdered him. You
22
     took away my William, you wasted flesh and blood.
23
     not address you by name, because I have no respect for you.
24
     You are nameless. As far as I'm concerned, you are just
25
     another part of an evil system and animal killing the next
26
     in line. How your mother could have produced a man-child as
27
     evil as you I'll never know. She would have done better
```

keeping the placenta than bringing you into this world.

Case 3:07-cv-05124-SI

What a waste of African-American man, another damn
statistic. How ignorant. Now you will rot in jail or die
for what? Because you couldn't be a man with intelligence?
No, you had to murder him. Obviously, you have no idea what
love is, and to even think that you know what God is is an
oxymoron of great proportion. I have had practically three
years to relive this horrific event and standing here today
brings it all back again. I have prayed for this nightmare
to end but it won't because the harsh reality is that this
is not a dream. Any of us who loved my baby can awaken this
act of cruelty, it's imprinted in our minds and in our
hearts. I could stand here and curse you and hate you, but
I'm not going to do what God told me to do [sic]. It is the
hardest challenge." I'm sorry, "but I am going to do what
God has told me to do. It is the hardest challenge I have
ever had in my life, but I'm going to do it. So against my
own will, and in all of my professing, and I'm all knowing
God well, I'm going to do what I need to do to heal. What
that is, I pray that you not get the death penalty, but
rather you get life in prison. And while you go through
your 30s, 40s, 50s, 60s and 70s, and hopefully 80s, you'll
find God in that cold jail cell. Not like some of the
inmates who claim they know God because it's the thing to
do. No, no, no. I pray you were to find God so that you
can know what true love is, then and only then would you be
able to understand what you've done.
"What I've come to realize about you is that you

are an empty shell which means you have no life, but I want

```
you to have life. I want you to be above your grave. This is your second murder, which tells me all you know is evil and hate, therefore you are desensitized from the hurt and pain you have caused us, all the pain you get when you find out who God really is, that is when your torture will begin.

"I pray you spend every waking and sleeping
```

moment thinking of William Bentley Anderson that plays, replays, replays, over and over again in your mind until you won't be able to breathe, until you won't be able to think anything else but William Bentley Anderson. Then and only then will you begin to feel. I pray every breath you take will be thought of the breath William Bentley Anderson could have had. I pray every step you take will be part of the steps William Bentley Anderson could have had. I pray every laugh you take knowing that you will never enjoy them like William Bentley Anderson, and I want you to watch the sun rise and set knowing that you'll never be able to enjoy it like William.

"I pray until God says enough and it is time for your death, that you will hear over and over again William Bentley Anderson et cetera, et cetera. I've purposely repeated his name for the 21 years of his short life that you took. Respectively, Sheila Lorraine Williams."

MR. PALAPAA: Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: Your name, sir.

MR. PALAPAA: Ricky Palapaa.

THE COURT: You are going to have to spell your last

28 name.

MR. PALAPAA: P-A-L-A-P-A-A. 600455

THE COURT: Got it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. PALAPAA: Good morning, Judge, and thank you for the opportunity to address the Court and all those here today.

I have had the pleasure of knowing William Anderson, Jr. and his family living next door to his home in Oakland. As a native San Franciscan and present resident of Oakland, I'd like to thank the Oakland P.D., law enforcement and judicial system for the guilty verdict of Ivan Kilgore.

Ivan Kilgore took a very young life in the most horrible way. William was barely 21 years old when this tragic incident took place, and after three years, this sordid conclusion will not bring him back. William gone is a loss of a young man's life, a pain that will never go away. During the extensive court hearings, arraignments and trial, I often thought that how often you could turn and look to William's family and just smile. Ivan Kilgore, your arrogance will soon be with others inside the walls of the penitentiary. Ivan Kilgore, will you be smiling there like you have been in court over the past two and a half years? It's those of like as yourself, who could not accept losing a fistfight and couldn't say, "Peace, Brother William. Let's be friends," is a weak and pitiful individual. It's too late to say you are sorry, if that helps everything in your mind. Too much thought time has passed to make a sincere word in that direction. Hell awaits you. William Anderson, Jr., could be alive today if you fessed up to this

misunderstanding and you could have been big enough and let					
it go, but instead you stopped him by shooting William in					
his back. Your disrespect of life and yourself, has not					
only affected a life of William, but a life of a family					
member and friend, and now you will pay with your own life.					

Case 3:07-cv-05124-\$I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ivan Kilgore, you should have been put away a long time ago. I really hope that you are tougher than you think you are, because where you are going you are going to need just that and more. You have heard the term "fighting tooth and nail," if you haven't, you will have time to think about it and soon to do it.

Judge, the Court, William's family and friends, I continue to be in shock at the loss of this young man's life. William did not deserve to die this way. William had dreams for a future, a girlfriend, his buddies and a loving, giving family. Ivan Kilgore, you damaged a lot of people's lives. Right now, William is looking down from above at all of us in his courtroom, and he's smiling because he's at peace. You take life, you do life.

Aloha, Ivan Kilgore, this is the beginning of the Thank you, Your Honor, members of the courtroom, the Prosecution and the jury and William's family. Sincerely, Ricky Palapaa.

> THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Palapaa.

MS. WARD: Hello, Your Honor, my name is Sherry I'm Fred Anderson's fiancee. I'm reading his statement for him.

"William Anderson, William Bentley Anderson was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"To the no-named murderer of William Anderson, I want this name to live with you, haunt your dreams, torture your soul, crush your hopes, be your fears to the end of your life. You are nothing. You'll always be nothing with no name. The only name that will matter in your world is William Anderson. You may have taken his physical life, but by doing this, you sacrificed your soul. May demons of hell have your way with you for eternity."

THE COURT: Thank you.

Good morning, Your Honor. MS. ANDERSON:

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. ANDERSON: I'm Jerilynn Anderson.

MR. ANDERSON: My name is Samuel Anderson, William's father.

> MS. ANDERSON: I'm William's mother.

To Your Honor, Judge Kingsbury, and the officers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of this court, if anyone would have told me that I would be
standing here today talking with the murderer of my son, I
would have never believed them. I would never have thought
it in a million years that anything like this in my life
could have ever happened. I looked in the dictionary to
find a description of what this person this whole thing
is all about. And if you look in the dictionary, my
personal dictionary under "Kilgore," I see ignorance,
violence, viciousness, vengeful, unforgiving, spitefulness,
inhuman, mean, cruel, heartless, gutless, mindless, and an
assassin. And one more I threw in was a numbrut. I threw
that in to describe the future, whatever it may be.
Our son will never see the ocean, but neither

will you. Our son is never going to see the world grow and change, but neither will you. Our son will never have children, and if you have any at all, neither will you. Our son is dead by your hand, and you're dead by your own hand, because you are going to lose your name and you are going to become a number. You'll lose your dignity and become whatever. We are now parents that are at peace. because our son has gone, but because I know God holds us in the palm of his hands, and he's going to deal out the justice that you deserve.

See, that's where you find peace, when you turn it all over to God. See what I'm saying? No, you don't see what I'm saying. Your mother, if she's still living, if she cares about you in any shape, form or fashion, if she's here on the planet or looking down, she's going to be forever in

My husband Sam and I ask you, Judge Kingsbury — actually, we implore you, we plead with you, Judge Kingsbury to place this beast away for the remainder of his natural life in hell's outhouse wherever that may be when no one but God is going to be able to show him mercy. But I do forget that God doesn't dwell in an unclean place or unclean heart.

My husband and myself and all of William Bentley Anderson's friends and family, we wish to thank the jurors. We would like to thank Attorney Darryl Stallworth for speaking for my son who didn't have a voice.

I'd like to thank you, Judge Kingsbury, for the opportunity for us to relieve our hearts and the final justice. I'd like to say that God surely does answer prayer, and I thank you very much for this opportunity for my family and my friends to speak from the heart.

THE COURT: You are not only entitled, but you are quite welcome to speak.

Mr. Stallworth, pending the outcome of what happens on the  $27^{ ext{th}}$ , we'll just have to see where it goes from there.

MR. STALLWORTH: It's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I would expect -- I'm hoping that although it's set for a Marsden motion on the 27<sup>th</sup> and normally the district attorney would not be permitted to participate in that, at least be present in case we do need you, and there's something that's involved in the case that

```
C00460
     requires your participation.
 1
 2
             MR. STALLWORTH: Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: The 27th then.
 3
             MS. LEVY: Yes.
 4
             THE COURT: Thank you all for coming. I know it's
 5
     frustrating to only have things continued, but what we're
 6
 7
     doing is to make sure that everything is legally right by
     the book, that everybody's rights are protected and the
 8
     matter will conclude in the not too distant future.
 9
10
                               ---000----
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

000461 STATE OF CALIFORNIA) 1 2 SS. 3 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 4 5 I, THERESA A. AGUILAR, Court Reporter 6 of the Superior Court of the State of 7 California, County of Alameda, do hereby 8 certify that the foregoing pages above my 9 printed name contain a full, true and correct 10 transcription of my stenotype notes of the 11 proceedings and on the trial in said court of 12 the cause entitled PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 13 CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, versus IVAN KILGORE, 14 Defendant. Case was numbered 141033 in the 15 files of criminal actions of said Court; and 16 that said transcript includes all rulings, acts 17 or statements of the Court, also all motions, 18 objections or exceptions of counsel, and all 19 matters to which the same relate. 20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto 21 set my hand this 18TH day of JUNE, 2003. 22 23 24 THERESA A. ÆGUILAR 25 26 27 28

)-401 (REV. 5/01)

DOCKET

# Case 3:07-cv-05124 SI Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 64 of 67

RENE DAVIDSON COURTHOUSE

000462 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA CLERKS DOCKET AND MINUTES KILGORE, IVAN CRT. DATE/TIME 6/27/03 09:00 KILGORE, IVAN DAWNELL RPT. NO. 00-64017 DOCK NO. 141033 EVENT CEN. 0373689 PFN. BBV550 A DAY 11/01/00 SJ DATE 1/05/04 PROC. RES PIC 00500 AAG-OPD ACITY OA COUNTS CHARGES 1)F187 SCEUSE PC 1 PR CONVICTED-J BAIL \$0.00 TOTAL DAYS IN CUSTODY: BOND CO. DOB 05/05/75 STAT. \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ BOND DT. \_\_\_\_ STAT. \_\_\_\_\_\_ BOND DT. \_ BAC ..... DATE PAID REC. NO. NORTH 1 TIME WAIVED FINE/REST. PROCEEDING DEP. D.A. DARRYL STALLWORTH KENNETH KINGSBURY WANDA BOYNS DEF. ATTY. DEBORAH LEVY DEP. CLERK Not Present GERALD DOHRMANN REPORTER OTHERS ☑ Present ☐ Not Present ☐ Excused Defendant: In Custody Pro Per Interpreter is present. Language spoken: Defendant duly arraigned/advised as to constitutional rights 

Defendant waives arraignment 

Waiver of Rights filed ☐ Defendant served: ☐ \_\_\_\_\_\_Complaint ☐ Discovery ☐ Petition ☐ Motion ☐ Protective Order (PC 136.2) Referred to Public Defender Public Defender files conflict Financially ineligible Private counsel appointed Plea Withdrawn Change of Plea Plea to count(s) Not Guilty Guilty Guilty Occupation Not Contest/Found Guilty Stipulates to: lesser included / reasonably related offense of count(s) to charge(s) ☐ Probation: ☐ Conditional Sentence: ☐ Granted for \_\_\_\_\_\_years/months ☐ See attached conditions Revoked Restored Modified Extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Continue on same terms and conditions Terminated Defendant admits probation violation Previous order revoking probation vacated, set aside, defendant restored to probation Submit to search and seizure of person, residence, vehicle or any property under defendant's control No contact with / not to annoy \_\_\_\_\_\_, directly or indirectly: stay at least \_\_\_\_ Additional order(s): Petition/Motion Granted Denied Withdrawn Referred to: ☐ Probation Dept. ☐ Financial Hearing Officer Restitution: Referred to for Determination Ordered Reserved Modified ☐ Bail Reinstated ☐ Bail Exonerated ☐ Summary Judgment Entered ☐ Bench Warrant: ☐ Issued ☐ Withheld ☐ Withdrawn ☐ Bail Set at \$\_\_\_\_\_ ☐ No Cite Release ☐ Night Service CERT-CRT: WWM MOURT ORDERS THE APPOINTMENT OF ANOTHER COURSEL TO REPRESENT THE DEPT ON A WITH FOR NEW TRIAL. nt: Date: 7-3-03 \_\_Time: 00 Dept. 06 \_ Proc.: 0F ATTY Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_ Dept. \_\_\_\_Proc.: \_\_\_\_ Codes:

KILGORE, IVAN DAWNELL ct. date 6/27/03 DOCK NO. 141033

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

IRT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	000463
EDKE DOCKET AND MINISTES	

р п П	KILGORE, IVAN	DEPT.	006 CRT. DATE/TIME	7/03/03 09:00
EVENT NAME	KILGORE, IVAN DAWNELL	RPT. NO	00-64017	DOCK NO. 141033
PROC. A	PCSL CEN. 03  DUNTS  1)F187 SCEUSE PC 1 PR CONVIC	73689 PFN. BBV550 PIC 005	A DAY 11/01/00 500 AAG-OPD	SJ DATE 1/09/04 ACITY DA
STAT	SET BAIL \$0.00 T	TOTAL DAYS IN CUST	TODY: 973	
BAIL BAIL FINE/RES	STAT	BOND DT.  BOND DT.  REC, NO, NORTH 1	BOND CO BOND CO TIME WAIVEDTW.	DOB 05/05/75 BAC
JUDGE DEP. CLEF REPORTE	KENNETH KINGSBURY RK WANDA BOYNS GERALD-DOHRMANN-ND	PROCEEDING  DEP. D.A. DA  DEF. ATTY. 1  OTHERS 1	DCCO: GRYL STALLWORTH DEBORAH LEVY MICHAEL PARCAEL	Not Present
Intel   Defe   Defe   Plea   Stipe   Pro!   Pro!   Pro!   Position   Refe   Restitut   Bail	rpreter	pplaint Discovery Petes conflict Financially iness conflict Financially iness    fense of count(s) Sentence    lays Trial Sentence    Admitted    ed for years/monthstended to Coer revoking probation vacated, sicle or any property under deferming Officer    for Determina    Reinstated Bail Exonera	poken: aignment	rotective Order (PC 136.2)  nsel appointed  No Contest/Found Guilty  Time waiver withdrawn ng Purposes Only  ronditions nd conditions Terminated stored to probation  staway  Denied Withdrawn  Reserved Modified
,	7-11-03 Time: <u>M</u> Dept. <u>Olo</u>	_Proc.: Date:	Time: De	eptProc.:
DOCKET NAME	KILGORE, IVAN DAWNELL	CT. DATE_	7/03/03 DOCK NO.	141033

# RENE DAVIDSON COURTHOUSE

000464

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA CLERKS DOCKET AND MINUTES

′ т_	KILGORE	IVAN		D	ерт. <u>006</u>	CRT. DATE/TIME _	7/11/03	09:00
EVENT NAME	KILGORE.	IVAN DAWNE	LL	RP	T. NO <b>Q</b>	0-64017	_ DOCK NO14	1033
	COUNTS		CEN. 037368	PIC		11/01/00 AAG-OPD A		/20/04
BAIL		STAT	D•00 TOTAL BOND BOND REC. N	DT DT NO. NORTH 1	BOND (	00	BAC	
DEP. CLE	ERK WANDA E	BOYNS	PRC			DCCO: IL STALLWO THEWS, SPECIA		
Der Rei	erpreter	igned/advised as to  Defender Pul Change of Plea er included / reason Preliminary Exame Conditional Sentence Restored Norobation violation in to annoy	Present	present. Langua Defendant waive: Discovery t Financial  count(s) Trial Sent d years/m ng probation vac- ny property unde	ge spoken: s arraignment Petition ly ineligible Not Gence Onths Continue cated, set asi	nt	tective Order (P sel appointed No Contest Time waiver g Purposes Only nditions I conditions ored to probation	/Found Guilty withdrawn / Terminated naway
☐ Ref	tition/Motion ferred to:	Probation Dept.  red to Bail Forfeiture Set   Issued W	Financial Hearing Office  Aside Bail Reinsta ithheld Withdrawn	cerfor Dete		Ordered Summary Judg		Withdrawn  Modified  Night Service
C	OLNGEL A	CERT-CR' LKNOWLEDGE	ES RECEINT OF	A 35 PG.	TRANSYO	LIPT DATED	6/27/03/	
	7 111 5	- M	10 a . Na -	A Dog i				
			<u>)O</u> Dept. <u>Olo</u> Proc.: <sub>!</sub> 			ııme: Der	otProc.	·
DOCKET	KILGORE	IVAN DAWNE		CT I	NATE 7/1	1/03 DOCK NO.	14	

'0-401 (REV. 5/01)

# Case 3:07-cv-05124-51 Document 13-5 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 67 of 67 RENE DAVIDSON COURTHOUSE

000465

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA CLERKS DOCKET AND MINUTES

KILGORE, IVAN	DEPT. 006 CRT. DATE/TIME 7/14/03 09:00
EVENT KILGORE, IVAN DAWNELL	RPT. NO. 00-64017 DOCK NO. 141033
PROC. APCSL CEN. 0373689 CDUNTS CHARGES 1)F187 SC&USE PC 1 PR CONVICTED-J	PFN BBV550 A DAY 11/01/00 SJ DATE 1/20/04 PIC 00S00 AAG-DPD ACITY DA
STAT SET BAIL \$0.00 TOTAL D	AYS IN CUSTODY: 985
RAII STAT. BOND DT	BOND CO
FINE/REST. DATE PAID REC. NO.	NORTH 1 TIME WAIVED TWS
	EEEDING DCCD:
JUDGE KENNETH KINGSBURY DEP. CLERK WANDA BOYNS REPORTER GERALD DCHRMANN	DEP. D.A. JARRYL STALL-WORTH
Defendant: ☐ Present ☐ Not Present ☐ Excused	☐ In Custody ☐ Pro Per
Defendant duly arraigned/advised as to constitutional rights □ Defendant served: □ □ Complaint □ Referred to Public Defender □ Public Defender files conflict □ Plea Withdrawn □ Change of Plea □ Plea to count(s) □ Stipulates to: lesser included / reasonably related offense of come waived for: □ Preliminary Examination □ days □ Admitted □ Priors: □ Stricken □ Admitted □ Probation: □ Conditional Sentence: □ Granted for □ Revoked □ Restored □ Modified □ Extended to □ Defendant admits probation violation □ Previous order revoking □ Submit to search and seizure of person, residence, vehicle or any	Discovery Petition Motion Protective Order (PC 136.2)  Financially ineligible Private counsel appointed  Not Guilty Guilty No Contest/Found Guilty  punt(s) to charge(s)  Trial Sentence Time not waived Time waiver withdrawn  Sentencing Purposes Only  Denied  years/months See attached conditions  Continue on same terms and conditions Terminated probation vacated, set aside, defendant restored to probation  property under defendant's control  minimum directly or indirectly: stay at least away  Granted Denied Withdrawn  for Determination Ordered Reserved Modified
31 INFORMS THE COURT HIS INABILITY T	D ADDEPT THE APPOINTMENT.
nt: Date: 7-21-03 Time: 0900 Dept. 06 Proc.: 16	Date: DeptProc.:
DOCKET KILGORE, IVAN DAWNELL	CT. DATE 7/14/03 DOCK NO. 141033